GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~19~~ .

(Mezrai)

File No. ~~33~~ 33

Section VII

~~Section III~~

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Some old records re the claimes of Venkata-giri Gosai to certain amounts due from the late Manager of Sri Kasi Viswesvara Swamis Temple at Ganjam Srirangapatam Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Extracts of Vernacular papers in the case of Venkatarayer Gomasta of Mysore.

No	Particulars	No. of Papers
1-2	Jamabandi Patties prepared in the Taluk of	2.
3.	Application of Sri Jagannath dated 9th June 1881 to the Inam Superintendent.	1.
4.	Order.	1.
5	Order No: 222 dated 13th June 188 from the Superintendent of Inams to the Amildar of Austagram Taluk.	1.
6.	Deposition of Jagannatha Chari before the Taluk Sheristadar on 2nd July 1881	1
7	Copy of the Summad of His High the late Maharaja	1.
8-9	Statement Showing the Expend of Vasiviswaswar and other Temples	2.
10	Yadi from Seringapatam Taluk to Mysore Taluk	1
11	Summons dated 22-7-1881	1
12	Order No: 391 from Austagram Taluk to Kurwal	1
13	Summons.	1.
14 15	Application dated 19-9-88 from Jagannatha Chari to the Amildar and his reply thereon	2 1 84

16.	Application of Jagannatha Chetty to the Amildar dated 5-10-1881	
17	Application of Narasimma Bharathi Gosai to the Mungarai Asst. Mysore Dist.	
18	Application dated 23rd January 1881 from Ramaswami Iyengar to the Mungarai Asst. Mysore Dist.	1
19	Deposition of Nursima Bharathi before the Amildar of Austhagram Taluk on 30th July 1881	1
20	Application dated 1st May 1881 from Narsimma Bharathi Gosai to the Mungarai Asst. Comr.	1
21	Yadi No. 496 from the Amildar to the Sheristadar.	1
22	Yadi No. 28 dated 31st May 1882 from Amildar to Mungarai officer	2
23-24	Application dated 22nd July 1882 from Narsimma Bharathi Gosai to the Mungarai Asst. Comr.	2
25	Copy of the Judgment of Chief Comr. in Appeal Suit No. 1 of 1882.	2
	Copy of the Sale deed dated 23rd July 1880 from Raja Bharathi Gosai to Ranganathapuri Gosai.	1
	Receipt dated 12th July 1880.	1
	Order of Karar dated 29th October	

29	Vasali Dasthavi dated 30th October 1878	1.
30	Copy of General Power of Attorney dated 6th May 1878.	1
31	Application dated 28th June 1882 from Narasimha Bharathi to Mungarai Asst. Mysore	1
32	Do of Jagannathachar dated 1st October 1879.	2.
33	Deposition of Dhanaraja Bharathi before the Amildaron 21st June 82.	1
34	Order No: 338 from the Amildar to Kurwal	1.
35	Notice dated 26-12-1882	1
36-37 38	Yadi No: 106 from the Amildar to the Mungarai Assist: and his order thereon	2. 3
39	Order No: 447 from the Amildar to Kurwal.	1
40.	Summons.	1
41	Application of Jagannathachar to the Amildar dated 29th March 1883	
42	Report No. 96 from the Amildar to the Sub division officer French Rocks.	1
43	Application of Dhanaraja Bharathi to the Amildar dated 1-5-1884	1
44	deposition of Dhanaraja Bharathi before the Amildar on 22 5 1884 and that of Jagannatha char	2

45	Application of Dhanaraja Bh to the Amildar dated 13th May 1884	
46	Notice dated 29th Sept 1884.	
47	Order No: 271 from the Amildar to Kuttwal.	1.
48	Copy of the order of His Highness the late Maharaja to the Amil dated Magha Suddha 5	1
49	Report No: 63 from the Amildar dated 22nd July 1884.	1.
50	Yadi No: 183 dated 1st Aug 1884 from the French Rocks Division Officer to the Supdt of Inams.	1.
51	His Yadi No: 208 dated 12-9- in reply to the above.	1.
52	Order No: 217 dated 17-9-1884 from the Sub Division Officer to the Amildar.	1.
53.	do No: 623 dated 6-9-89 and his reply thereto.	2
54	Application without date to the Dewan's Office. Regd No: 987	3
55	Application of Venkatayiee Gosai to the Dewan's Office	2
56.	Application dated 23rd 1889 from Gulugunjiee Gosai and other Karnakams to the Dewan's	3

Enclosures

56	Application of Venkatagiri Gosai to the Amildar	2
57	List showing the Paddy received from the fields from 1880-89	1
58	do showing the Expenditure	2
59	Kannarese Memo of the Case	3
60.	Deposition of Jogandragiri Gosai before the Amildar on 28-1-90	1
61	Application of Venkatagiri Gosai to the Amildar dated 19-1-1889	1.
62	Summons dated 17-1-90	~~1~~
63	Pakki showing the Ustavas in the Temples.	1.
64	Summons dated 8-1-90	1.
66	Deposition of Venkatagiri Gosai before the Amildar on 28-1-90	1.
67	Summons dated 8-1-90	1
67	do 17-1-90	1.
68	Yadi No: 499 dated 17-1-90 from the Amildar of Seringapatam to the Amildar of Mysore	1
69	Summons dated 17-1-90.	3
70-71	Yadi No: 1576/450 from the Mysore Taluk. and Summons	2
72	Report No: 446 from the Amildar to the Sub Division Officer	2

of *His Highness*

Before the Goveru. *ighness* the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 1465 of 23rd September/89.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Jogendragiru & others
of Hirode, Sreerangapatana
Tk.

Decision. 21.9.91.

Petitioners request that the Inam lands of the temple at Ganjam may be put in possession of Venkatagiri Gosayi and the Decree of the Chief Court putting the lands in the possession of one Ramaswami Iyengar may be set aside.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"The Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside."

By order

Under Secy.

C/pg

Copy forwarded to. Comm^r,
Mysore &c.

By order

Under Secy.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 987 of 9th August 1889.
" 1806 of 18th Novr 1889.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Venkatagiri Gosayi
of Ganjam,
Srirangapatam Taluk.

Decision. 21.9.91

Certain temple lands in the possession of Petitioner as agent of the Trustee were made over to one Ramaswami Iyengar under a Decree of the Chief Court, as it was proved that he was the lessee of the lands. Petitioner requests that as the lands are held for the benefit of Temples, the Decree of the Chief Court may be set aside and he be placed in possession of the lands.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"The Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside and the lands put in possession

Profession of the Petitioner.



By order

Under Secy.

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dt, with the papers therein noted in the 4th Feby. last Nos 898, 359 & 1345 Dy. 5th Oct. 1890 & 28th July 1891 respectively.

By order

Under Secy.

WB.
AS?

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ೧೨೩ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೫ ಎಕರೆ ೨೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕಾವೇರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಎಂಬ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ನಡುವೆ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುವ ಗಡಿ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕಾವೇರಿ ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೂರ್ವ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೩೦ ಅಡಿ ಅಗಲದ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಅತಿಕ್ರಮಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬೇಲಿ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಆರೋಪಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಕುರಿತು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಜಂಟಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಜಂಟಿ ಸರ್ವೆಯ ವರದಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಅವರ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿರುವ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೮ ಅಡಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಜಾಗ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ಈ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಕಳೆದ ೧೨ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರಕವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹೀಗಾಗಿ ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ ಪಹಣಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿರುವ ೫ ಎಕರೆ ೨೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು, ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸನ್ನು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದರಂತೆ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಪಹಣಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಈ ಆದೇಶದಿಂದ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ನಡುವಿನ ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲದ ಗಡಿ ವಿವಾದ ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿ ಬಗೆಹರಿದಂತಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕಾಲಿಕ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಸಾಧನಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಸಾಧನಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ

(General Serial No. 32)

1890.

No. 2695

Name of Petitioner. Vencatgiriyappa Nayaka Bangalore - Mysore Dist Case

Village. Kasba

Taluk. Mysore

District. Mysore

Date of Arri. 13-3-90

Date of Receipt 13-3-90 6

Date of Disposal.

No of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Prays for early disposal of his case the proceedings connected with which have been submitted to this Office by the Dy Comr. Mysore

For submission to Chief Secretary 11/3/89

Chief Secretary 2/3/90

Revenue Dept.

Please put up Mysore Dy Comrs No. 1345 of 4-2-90 & its connected papers (* C No 10478)

Connected case 2/3/90

Under Secretary to Government

Commissioner

Refer? Urdu

Ltr 13/3

Govt No 989 of 25-2-90 addressed Chief Secy on 11/3/90

3/90

Jw 2695

Mahalakshmi Village

To,

Shri Ramesh Kumar Sharma,
S/o Late Shri Mohan Lal Sharma,
Plot No. 47, Sector-12, Rohini,
Delhi-110085

Subject: Regarding sale of property

Dear Sir,

This is to inform you that I have decided to sell my property situated at Plot No. 47, Sector-12, Rohini, Delhi-110085, which I had purchased from you vide registered sale deed dated 15th March 1998.

The property is being sold due to my relocation to Jaipur for personal reasons. I am offering you the first opportunity to purchase the same before I put it in the open market.

If you are interested, please contact me at your earliest convenience so that we can discuss the terms and conditions of the sale.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Mahalakshmi Devi

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 0.

No. 198

Name of Petitioner. Venkatagiri Gosayi

Village. Bangalore

Taluk. "

District. "

Date of Arzi. "

Date of Receipt. 23-5-90

Date of Dispesal.

No. of Enclosures. "

ABSTRACT.

Petnts orders being
issued by the Chief Court
to stay the execution of a
decree passed by them affg.
the Petr ordering the payment
of the past produce of certain
Inam lands to the petitioner
pending the disposal of
the Petrs his previous
petitions to the Dewan
Bahadur

References Submitted
to the Chief Section 11/3/90
Submitted for orders.
14th June 90. AG

Hon'ble the Dewan
Passed orders with the
Mysore Regn No 989
of 25th Feb last

Sir
Submitted
[illegible]
12/7

17 July 90



IN THE COURT OF THE Dewan

OF Mysore

Revenue CASE No OF 1880

Venkatagiri Gowda } Against {

I the Plaintiff ... ... above-named by these presents, retain Mr. H. Krishnaswamy Rao, Advocate, to appear for and conduct the above case, on ... his ... behalf, to Judgment.

further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in ... ... favour, and to receive any money that may be due to ... ... under the said decree and to grant receipts for the same-

I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts, done by the said Advocate, on ... his ... behalf, in virtue of these presents in the promises, witness whereof, ... I ... have hereunto set hand this the 15th ... day of July 1880

Accepted
H. Krishnaswamy Rao
Advocate for. Plaintiff

ರಾಜ್ಯವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಗೌಡ

Signed before me

Tharka Press Hallathacari Mysore

Petition of 16/5/91



IN THE COURT OF Before the Dewan of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

No of Bangalore

Petitioner Venkata Giri Godaye

Against.

I the Petitioner above-named do hereby retain and appoint ~~C. S. I GANGADHARAM ESQ.~~ T. Rama Swamy as my true and lawful attorney to appear for me in this matter and Counsel the same on my behalf and to do all such other acts for and on my behalf in and about the premises as may be necessary and proper and I bind myself to abide by and confirm the same.

He is hereby further authorized to apply for and receive payment of all or any sums of money that may be due to me and also receive from Court all documents I hereby agree to acknowledge the same to be done by me personally.

Dated 15th day of May 1891

Executed before me on the date above named

Venkata Giri Godaye

ACCEPTED

T. Ramaswamy Iyer

Counsel for Petitioner

No Stamps
as The previous
applications
Enclosed.

Petition Dated
23/5/92

Pr. 298
Rgd 23 5 92

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Most honoured Sir,

I most respectfully
Solicit permission to approach your
honour with this my prayer and beg
to be pardoned for the trouble I am
Constantly giving.

From the perusal of my
previous applications and the reports from
the amildar, Sub-division officer and
the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore
honour will be pleased to observe, my
Complaint is one worthy of your honour
notice and support and interference of
government is indispensible, since the
Revenue Rules have been transgressed

justice usurped. Your honour will under-
stand from the correspondence now before
your honour, my complaint is connected
with the Inam lands granted to the temples
at Ganjam, in the Srirangapatam Taluk,
and my connection with them originated
in complaints made by certain servants
of the temples and the community interested
with them, to the effect that the temple
affairs were badly managed by the Inamdar.
The enquiry instituted by me showed that
the customary services in the temples were
neglected and the management of the temple
Inam lands was not properly supervised and
further that the lands were mortgaged to
two Shrishnava brahmins in defiance of
well known orders of government, that
temple lands should not be alienated for
any other purpose. Fearing that the impor-
tant temples suffer under the rules for the
default committed by the Inamdar, I under-
took to redeem the lands from mortgage by
satisfying the valuable consideration to the
mortgagees and other dues to the extent of
8000 and odd rupees moved by the prayers
of the temple servants and the Gosayi and
other community interested therewith and pur-
prompted by the promise held out by the
recent Rules that government will intercede
in case of any fraud or trespass being played

upon me. your honour will now be convinced from the papers submitted by the Deputy Commissioner that it is proved beyond all doubt that I have paid a large amount of money on the trust of government rules without any selfish motives and that a great fraud has been played upon the government order and upon myself.

When the notifications and orders were issued by government regarding temple Inam Lands, the desire of government too no doubt, was to keep the simple devotees of the temples in the Province from intricacies of the Law Courts and the consequent trouble and expense engendered thereby and to obtain for them a more speedy justice. Thus all instances of the nature of my Complaint are exclusively Revenue Cases and kept under Revenue management. notwithstanding this, the chief court in the present Case without entering into the facts of the case, and evidently misled by the fraud of the Inamdar, decreed that I should pay to the Guttadar of the Lands the Cost of the produce of the Lands for the period I was in position of the Lands simply on the ground that the Gutta deed was to last for the space of 15 years, without in the least considering the stipulation in the Lease itself that the price

Lands ought to go towards the institution
trough me, who is the agent appointed by
he Inamdar for the Consideration I have
said. Since the produce of the Lands for the
ost of which it has been decreed has been
tilized towards the expences of the insti-
ution by me, Your honour will understand
that the decree has been obtained by fraud
All that the Guttadar is entitled to, in this
Case, for the purposes of the Law, is the possession
tion of the Lands, and that has been given
to him already. His claim for the produce
is inadmissible, as he is bound under the
Lease itself to give it to me. All that he
Can claim from me is a receipt in respect
of the produce which I am prepared to
give. Now the facts of the Case will prove
beyond all doubt that I have utilized the
yield of the Lands towards the temples to which
it was due, and that therefore the execution
of the decree against my property is unjust.
Wherefore I solicit your honour to kindly
order the Chief Court to Stay further proceedings
until my Case, now before your honour, is
finally decided.

For this act of char I shall be
ver grateful.

I beg to remain,

Bangalore Most honoured Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

(Signed) Venkatagiri Goshayee.

(General Serial No 32)

189 .

No. 917

Name of Petitioner Venkatajiri for

Village Mysore City

Taluk Mysore

District Mysore

Date of Arzi. 15th July 1890

Date of Receipt Do

Date of Disposal.

No of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Reminder for the early disposal of his petition of 9th Augt 1889 regarding the management of a Temple at Gosai Ghat in Sanjauru. It is stated that the records of the case have been called for by the Deputy Commissioner by the French Rocks Subordinate Officer.

Petr prays that a day may be fixed for hearing the said appeal petn & that Education proceedings now going on before the Distt Court may be stayed



No. 917

To T. R. A. Thumbu Chetty Esqr

Dewan to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

Recd 15/7/90 July

Respected Sir

The humble petition of
Venkatagiri Gosai, residing in
Mysore, respectfully Showeth

That the petitioner has, on
9th August 89 addressed a petition
to your honor regarding the manage-
ment of the Temple at Gosai Ghat-
in Ganjam Seringapatam Taluk,
which petition was referred to the
Deputy Commissioner of Mysore for
enquiry and report who referred
the same to the Sub Division Officer
Irwin Rocks.

The petitioner learns that the
Sub Division Officer has made a full
enquiry and submitted his report
to your honor.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 1927 of 24th Nov. 1890.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Jagannadhacharry
of Ganjam,
Srirangapatam Taluk.

Decision. 21.9.91

Petitioner requests that he may be recognised as the agent of the Trustee of the Temples at China Ganjam, as he was so appointed by the Trustee in 1878. He says that the Trustee has appointed some one else as his agent.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"Petitioner should establish his right in a Civil Court. The question is not one adjudicable by Revenue authorities."

By order

Under Secy.

Copy

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr,
Mysore Dt

By order

Under Secy.

No 768.

One anna stamp affixed.

OFFICE OF THE
18 JUL. 91

Bangalore
18.7.91

Advocate for Petitioner

189

(13)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

The Dy: Comm.
Mysore Dt

Dated 12
Despatched
Received January 191

Enclosures No.

General No. 11705 / 6 P 858
No. 646

This Office No. 9485/574 dated 24th November 1890 calling for certain documents & other records relating to the Inam lands attached to the Gosayi temples at Ganjam, Seringapatam Taluk.

~~and subsequent remainder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply.

By Order

~~Under~~-Secretary

No. 1465

& others

of Hirode, Seringapatam Taluk.

Endorsement.

In reply to their Petition dated 23rd September 1889

Petitioners are informed that the Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside.

~~is informed that~~ [illegible]

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
21/9/ 1891.

By Order,

Under Secretary

W.

AR

No. 985/1806

Venkatagiri Gosayi
of Ganjam,
Srirangapatam P.R. Endorsement. 21.9.91

In reply to his Petition dated 9th August & 18th Nov./89
respectively, Petitioner is informed that the
Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside
and the lands put in his possession

~~is informed that~~

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
Sept. 1891.

Under Secretary

W.
AR

No. 1927

Order

Appeal of Thackurry
of Ganjam
Srirangapatam Taluk.

Endorsement 9.21.9.91.

In reply to his Petition dated 24th Nov. 1890 Petitioner is informed that he should establish his right in a Civil Court. The question is not one adjudicable by Revenue authorities.

~~is informed that~~

2. The enclosure is herewith returned

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
Sept. 1891.

By Order,

Under Secretary.

Memo

Undersigned begs the favour of an
interview with a view to explain
the Case of Venkatagiri Gosayi's petition
as regards the management of the
Kasi visvanath temple in Seringapatam

23/9/91

T. Ramiaswamy
Advocate

Received letter
by the Paramedical
Who desires the
terms of the Admitted
of the Counsellors

El
28/9/21

We may have
been a learned
~~Doc~~ " My colleague
has no objection
Hemp may be fixed
29th October

El
26/9/21

6-ಅಪ್ರಿ.91

ನೋಟೀಸ.



~~ನೀವು~~ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾ

ಯಿಸಿದ ~~ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ~~ ಮುಂದೆ 9 ನೇ ಮೇಕುಮಿನ ಅಪ್ಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು 29 ನೇ

ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ~~ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ~~ ಪೀಸಾಕ್ಕೆಂನೆಲ್ಲಿಜೆಯರಿಂಗ್ ಬಿ ನುವದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ

11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ~~ನೀವಾದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಯರ್ ಆ ಅಜ್ಯೂಕೇಟಾಗಲಿ~~ ನಮ್ಮ ಆಫೀಸ್ ರೂಬ

ರೂಬ್ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಹುಜೂರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಆಫೀಸ್

ತಾರೀಖು 6 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್

1891 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary.

No. 1639 Regd

26.10.91

One anna stamp affixed.

Received copy free 1-10-92 only
Vakkalamantan, S. Ramaiah
27-10-90

1641 R
27-10-91

27/10/91 Two Rupees stamp affixed.

[illegible]

Appellant

Venkatajoi Sosaye of Kasaba Mysore, by his advocate Mr. T. Paramasiva Iyer

Respondent

& 29th October 91



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~19~~ .

(Mezrai)

File No. ~~33~~ 33

Section VII

~~Section III~~

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Some old records re the claimes of Venkata-giri Gosai to certain amounts due from the late Manager of Sri Kasi Viswesvara Swamis Temple at Ganjam Srirangapatam Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Extracts of Vernacular papers in the case of Venkatarayer Gomasta of Mysore.

No	Particulars	No. of Papers
1-2	Jamabandi Patties prepared in the Taluk of	2.
3.	Application of Sri Jagannath dated 9th June 1881 to the Inam Superintendent.	1.
4.	Order.	1.
5	Order No: 222 dated 13th June 188 from the Superintendent of Inams to the Amildar of Austagram Taluk.	1.
6.	Deposition of Jagannatha Chari before the Taluk Sheristadar on 2nd July 1881	1
7	Copy of the Summad of His High the late Maharaja	1.
8-9	Statement Showing the Expend of Vasiviswaswar and other Temples	2.
10	Yadi from Seringapatam Taluk to Mysore Taluk	1
11	Summons dated 22-7-1881	1
12	Order No: 391 from Austagram Taluk to Kurwal	1
13	Summons.	1.
14 15	Application dated 19-9-88 from Jagannatha Chari to the Amildar and his reply thereon	2 1 84

16.	Application of Jagannatha Chetty to the Amildar dated 5-10-1881	
17	Application of Narasimma Bharathi Gosai to the Mungarai Asst. Mysore Dist.	
18	Application dated 23rd January 1881 from Ramaswami Iyengar to the Mungarai Asst. Mysore Dist.	1
19	Deposition of Nursima Bharathi before the Amildar of Austhagram Taluk on 30th July 1881	1
20	Application dated 1st May 1881 from Narsimma Bharathi Gosai to the Mungarai Asst. Comr.	1
21	Yadi No. 496 from the Amildar to the Sheristadar.	1
22	Yadi No. 28 dated 31st May 1882 from Amildar to Mungarai officer	2
23-24	Application dated 22nd July 1882 from Narsimma Bharathi Gosai to the Mungarai Asst. Comr.	2
25	Copy of the Judgment of Chief Comr. in Appeal Suit No. 1 of 1882.	2
	Copy of the Sale deed dated 23rd July 1880 from Raja Bharathi Gosai to Ranganathapuri Gosai.	1
	Receipt dated 12th July 1880.	1
	Order of Karar dated 29th October	

29	Vasali Dasthavi dated 30th October 1878	1.
30	Copy of General Power of Attorney dated 6th May 1878.	1
31	Application dated 28th June 1882 from Narasimha Bharathi to Mungarai Asst. Mysore	1
32	Do of Jagannathachar dated 1st October 1879.	2.
33	Deposition of Dhanaraja Bharathi before the Amildaron 21st June 82.	1
34	Order No: 338 from the Amildar to Kurwal	1.
35	Notice dated 26-12-1882	1
36-37 38	Yadi No: 106 from the Amildar to the Mungarai Assist: and his order thereon	2. 3
39	Order No: 447 from the Amildar to Kurwal.	1
40.	Summons.	1
41	Application of Jagannathachar to the Amildar dated 29th March 1883	
42	Report No. 96 from the Amildar to the Sub division officer French Rocks.	1
43	Application of Dhanaraja Bharathi to the Amildar dated 1-5-1884	1
44	deposition of Dhanaraja Bharathi before the Amildar on 22 5 1884 and that of Jagannatha char	2

45	Application of Dhanaraja Bh to the Amildar dated 13th May 1884	
46	Notice dated 29th Sept 1884.	
47	Order No: 271 from the Amildar to Kuttwal.	1.
48	Copy of the order of His Highness the late Maharaja to the Amil dated Magha Suddha 5	1
49	Report No: 63 from the Amildar dated 22nd July 1884.	1.
50	Yadi No: 183 dated 1st Aug 1884 from the French Rocks Division Officer to the Supdt of Inams.	1.
51	His Yadi No: 208 dated 12-9- in reply to the above.	1.
52	Order No: 217 dated 17-9-1884 from the Sub Division Officer to the Amildar.	1.
53.	do No: 623 dated 6-9-89 and his reply thereto.	2
54	Application without date to the Dewan's Office. Regd No: 987	3
55	Application of Venkatayiee Gosai to the Dewan's Office	2
56.	Application dated 23rd 1889 from Gulugunjiee Gosai and other Karnakams to the Dewan's	3

Enclosures

56	Application of Venkatagiri Gosai to the Amildar	2
57	List showing the Paddy received from the fields from 1880-89	1
58	do showing the Expenditure	2
59	Kannarese Memo of the Case	3
60.	Deposition of Jogandragiri Gosai before the Amildar on 28-1-90	1
61	Application of Venkatagiri Gosai to the Amildar dated 19-1-1889	1.
62	Summons dated 17-1-90	~~1~~
63	Pakki showing the Ustavas in the Temples.	1.
64	Summons dated 8-1-90	1.
66	Deposition of Venkatagiri Gosai before the Amildar on 28-1-90	1.
67	Summons dated 8-1-90	1
67	do 17-1-90	1.
68	Yadi No: 499 dated 17-1-90 from the Amildar of Seringapatam to the Amildar of Mysore	1
69	Summons dated 17-1-90.	3
70-71	Yadi No: 1576/450 from the Mysore Taluk. and Summons	2
72	Report No: 446 from the Amildar to the Sub Division Officer	2

of *His Highness*

Before the Goveru. *ighness* the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 1465 of 23rd September/89.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Jogendragiru & others
of Hirode, Sreerangapatana
Tk.

Decision. 21.9.91.

Petitioners request that the Inam lands of the temple at Ganjam may be put in possession of Venkatagiri Gosayi and the Decree of the Chief Court putting the lands in the possession of one Ramaswami Iyengar may be set aside.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"The Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside."

By order

Under Secy.

C/pg

Copy forwarded to. Comm^r,
Mysore &c.

By order

Under Secy.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 987 of 9th August 1889.
" 1806 of 18th Novr 1889.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Venkatagiri Gosayi
of Ganjam,
Srirangapatam Taluk.

Decision. 21.9.91

Certain temple lands in the possession of Petitioner as agent of the Trustee were made over to one Ramaswami Iyengar under a Decree of the Chief Court, as it was proved that he was the lessee of the lands. Petitioner requests that as the lands are held for the benefit of Temples, the Decree of the Chief Court may be set aside and he be placed in possession of the lands.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"The Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside and the lands put in possession

Profession of the Petitioner.



By order

Under Secy.

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dt, with the papers therein noted in the 4th Feby. last Nos 898, 359 & 1345 Dy. 5th Oct. 1890 & 28th July 1891 respectively.

By order

Under Secy.

WB.
AS?

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ೧೨೩ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೫ ಎಕರೆ ೨೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕಾವೇರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಎಂಬ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ನಡುವೆ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುವ ಗಡಿ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕಾವೇರಿ ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೂರ್ವ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೩೦ ಅಡಿ ಅಗಲದ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಅತಿಕ್ರಮಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬೇಲಿ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಆರೋಪಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಕುರಿತು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಜಂಟಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಜಂಟಿ ಸರ್ವೆಯ ವರದಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಅವರ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿರುವ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಸುಮಾರು ೨೮ ಅಡಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಜಾಗ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ಈ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಕಳೆದ ೧೨ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ನಿರಂತರವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರಕವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹೀಗಾಗಿ ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ ಪಹಣಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿರುವ ೫ ಎಕರೆ ೨೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು, ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಶಿಫಾರಸನ್ನು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದರಂತೆ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಪಹಣಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಈ ಆದೇಶದಿಂದ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ನಡುವಿನ ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲದ ಗಡಿ ವಿವಾದ ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿ ಬಗೆಹರಿದಂತಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕಾಲಿಕ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಸಾಧನಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಸಾಧನಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ

(General Serial No. 32)

1890.

No. 2695

Name of Petitioner. Vencatgiriyappa Nayaka Bangalore - Mysore Dist Case

Village. Kasba

Taluk. Mysore

District. Mysore

Date of Arri. 13-3-90

Date of Receipt 13-3-90 6

Date of Disposal.

No of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Prays for early disposal of his case the proceedings connected with which have been submitted to this Office by the Dy Comr. Mysore

For submission to Chief Secretary 11/3/89

Chief Secretary 2/3/90

Revenue Dept.

Please put up Mysore Dy Comrs No. 1345 of 4-2-90 & its connected papers (* C No 10478)

Connected case 2/3/90

Under Secretary to Government

Commissioner

Refer? Urdu

Ltr 13/3

Govt No 989 of 25-2-90 addressed Chief Secy on 11/3/90

3/90

Jw 2695

Mahalakshmi Village

To,

Shri Ramesh Kumar Sharma,
S/o Late Shri Mohan Lal Sharma,
Plot No. 47, Sector-12, Rohini,
Delhi-110085

Subject: Regarding sale of property

Dear Sir,

This is to inform you that I have decided to sell my property situated at Plot No. 47, Sector-12, Rohini, Delhi-110085, which I had purchased from you vide registered sale deed dated 15th March 1998.

The property is being sold due to my relocation to Jaipur for personal reasons. I am offering you the first opportunity to purchase the same before I put it in the open market.

If you are interested, please contact me at your earliest convenience so that we can discuss the terms and conditions of the sale.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Mahalakshmi Devi

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 0.

No. 198

Name of Petitioner. Venkatagiri Gosayi

Village. Bangalore

Taluk. "

District. "

Date of Arzi. "

Date of Receipt. 23-5-90

Date of Dispesal.

No. of Enclosures. "

ABSTRACT.

Petnts orders being
issued by the Chief Court
to stay the execution of a
decree passed by them affg.
the Petr ordering the payment
of the past produce of certain
Inam lands to the petitioner
pending the disposal of
the Petrs his previous
petitions to the Dewan
Bahadur

References Submitted
to the Chief Section 11/3/90
Submitted for orders.
14th June 90. AG

Hon'ble the Dewan
Passed orders with the
Mysore Regn No 989
of 25th Feb last

Sir
Submitted
[illegible]
12/7

17 July 90



IN THE COURT OF THE Dewan

OF Mysore

Revenue CASE No OF 1880

Venkatagiri Gowda } Against {

I the Plaintiff ... ... above-named by these presents, retain Mr. H. Krishnaswamy Rao, Advocate, to appear for and conduct the above case, on ... his ... behalf, to Judgment.

further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in ... ... favour, and to receive any money that may be due to ... ... under the said decree and to grant receipts for the same-

I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts, done by the said Advocate, on ... his ... behalf, in virtue of these presents in the promises, witness whereof, ... I ... have hereunto set hand this the 15th ... day of July 1880

Accepted
H. Krishnaswamy Rao
Advocate for. Plaintiff

ರಾಜ್ಯವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಗೌಡ

Signed before me

Tharka Press Hallathacari Mysore

Petition of 16/5/91



IN THE COURT OF Before the Dewan of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

No of Bangalore

Petitioner Venkata Giri Godaye

Against.

I the Petitioner above-named do hereby retain and appoint ~~C. S. I GANGADHARAM ESQ.~~ T. Rama Swamy as my true and lawful attorney to appear for me in this matter and Counsel the same on my behalf and to do all such other acts for and on my behalf in and about the premises as may be necessary and proper and I bind myself to abide by and confirm the same.

He is hereby further authorized to apply for and receive payment of all or any sums of money that may be due to me and also receive from Court all documents I hereby agree to acknowledge the same to be done by me personally.

Dated 15th day of May 1891

Executed before me on the date above named

Venkata Giri Godaye

ACCEPTED

T. Ramaswamy Iyer

Counsel for Petitioner

No Stamps
as The previous
applications
Enclosed.

Petition Dated
23/5/92

Pr. 298
Rgd 23 5 92

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Most honoured Sir,

I most respectfully
Solicit permission to approach your
honour with this my prayer and beg
to be pardoned for the trouble I am
Constantly giving.

From the perusal of my
previous applications and the reports from
the amildar, Sub-division officer and
the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore
honour will be pleased to observe, my
Complaint is one worthy of your honour
notice and support and interference of
government is indispensible, since the
Revenue Rules have been transgressed

justice usurped. Your honour will under-
stand from the correspondence now before
your honour, my complaint is connected
with the Inam lands granted to the temples
at Ganjam, in the Srirangapatam Taluk,
and my connection with them originated
in complaints made by certain servants
of the temples and the community interested
with them, to the effect that the temple
affairs were badly managed by the Inamdar.
The enquiry instituted by me showed that
the customary services in the temples were
neglected and the management of the temple
Inam lands was not properly supervised and
further that the lands were mortgaged to
two Shrishnava brahmins in defiance of
well known orders of government, that
temple lands should not be alienated for
any other purpose. Fearing that the impor-
tant temples suffer under the rules for the
default committed by the Inamdar, I under-
took to redeem the lands from mortgage by
satisfying the valuable consideration to the
mortgagees and other dues to the extent of
8000 and odd rupees moved by the prayers
of the temple servants and the Gosayi and
other community interested therewith and pur-
prompted by the promise held out by the
recent Rules that government will intercede
in case of any fraud or trespass being played

upon me. your honour will now be convinced from the papers submitted by the Deputy Commissioner that it is proved beyond all doubt that I have paid a large amount of money on the trust of government rules without any selfish motives and that a great fraud has been played upon the government orders and upon myself.

When the notifications and orders were issued by government regarding temple Inam Lands, the desire of government too no doubt, was to keep the simple devotees of the temples in the Province from intricacies of the Law Courts and the consequent trouble and expense engendered thereby and to obtain for them a more speedy justice. Thus all instances of the nature of my Complaint are exclusively Revenue Cases and kept under Revenue management. notwithstanding this, the chief court in the present Case without entering into the facts of the case, and evidently misled by the fraud of the Inamdar, decreed that I should pay to the Guttadar of the Lands the Cost of the produce of the Lands for the period I was in position of the Lands simply on the ground that the Gutta deed was to last for the space of 15 years, without in the least considering the stipulation in the Lease itself that the price

Lands ought to go towards the institution
trough me, who is the agent appointed by
he Inamdar for the Consideration I have
said. Since the produce of the Lands for the
ost of which it has been decreed has been
tilized towards the expences of the insti-
ution by me, Your honour will understand
that the decree has been obtained by fraud
All that the Guttadar is entitled to, in this
Case, for the purposes of the Law, is the possession
tion of the Lands, and that has been given
to him already. His claim for the produce
is inadmissible, as he is bound under the
Lease itself to give it to me. All that he
Can claim from me is a receipt in respect
of the produce which I am prepared to
give. Now the facts of the Case will prove
beyond all doubt that I have utilized the
yield of the Lands towards the temples to which
it was due, and that therefore the execution
of the decree against my property is unjust.
Wherefore I solicit your honour to kindly
order the Chief Court to Stay further proceedings
until my Case, now before your honour, is
finally decided.

For this act of char I shall be
ver grateful.

I beg to remain,

Bangalore Most honoured Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

(Signed) Venkatagiri Goshayee.

(General Serial No 32)

189 .

No. 917

Name of Petitioner Venkatajiri for

Village Mysore City

Taluk Mysore

District Mysore

Date of Arzi. 15th July 1890

Date of Receipt Do

Date of Disposal.

No of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Reminder for the early disposal of his petition of 9th Augt 1889 regarding the management of a Temple at Gosai Ghat in Sanjauru. It is stated that the records of the case have been called for by the Deputy Commissioner by the French Rocks Subordinate Officer.

Petr prays that a day may be fixed for hearing the said appeal petn & that Education proceedings now going on before the Distt Court may be stayed



No. 917

To T. R. A. Thumbu Chetty Esqr

Dewan to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

Recd 15/7/90 July

Respected Sir

The humble petition of
Venkatagiri Gosai, residing in
Mysore, respectfully Showeth

That the petitioner has, on
9th August 89 addressed a petition
to your honor regarding the manage-
ment of the Temple at Gosai Ghat-
in Ganjam Seringapatam Taluk,
which petition was referred to the
Deputy Commissioner of Mysore for
enquiry and report who referred
the same to the Sub Division Officer
Irwin Rocks.

The petitioner learns that the
Sub Division Officer has made a full
enquiry and submitted his report
to your honor.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 1927 of 24th Nov. 1890.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Jagannadhacharry
of Ganjam,
Srirangapatam Taluk.

Decision. 21.9.91

Petitioner requests that he may be recognised as the agent of the Trustee of the Temples at China Ganjam, as he was so appointed by the Trustee in 1878. He says that the Trustee has appointed some one else as his agent.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"Petitioner should establish his right in a Civil Court. The question is not one adjudicable by Revenue authorities."

By order

Under Secy.

Copy

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr,
Mysore Dt

By order

Under Secy.

No 768.

One anna stamp affixed.

OFFICE OF THE
18 JUL. 91

Bangalore
18.7.91

Advocate for Petitioner

189

(13)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

The Dy: Comm.
Mysore Dt

Dated 12
Despatched
Received January 191

Enclosures No.

General No. 11705 / 6 P 858
No. 646

This Office No. 9485/574 dated 24th November 1890 calling for certain documents & other records relating to the Inam lands attached to the Gosayi temples at Ganjam, Seringapatam Taluk.

~~and subsequent remainder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply.

By Order

~~Under~~-Secretary

No. 1465

& others

of Hirode, Seringapatam Taluk.

Endorsement.

In reply to their Petition dated 23rd September 1889

Petitioners are informed that the Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside.

~~is informed that~~ [illegible]

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
21/9/ 1891.

By Order,

Under Secretary

W.

AR

No. 985/1806

Venkatagiri Gosayi
of Ganjam,
Srirangapatam P.R. Endorsement. 21.9.91

In reply to his Petition dated 9th August & 18th Nov./89
respectively, Petitioner is informed that the
Decree of the Chief Court cannot be set aside
and the lands put in his possession

~~is informed that~~

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
Sept. 1891.

Under Secretary

W.
AR

No. 1927

Order

Appeal of Thackurry
of Ganjam
Srirangapatam Talk.

Endorsement 9.21.9.91.

In reply to his Petition dated 24th Nov. 1890 Petitioner is informed that he should establish his right in a Civil Court. The question is not one adjudicable by Revenue authorities.

~~is informed that~~

2. The enclosure is herewith returned

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
Sept. 1891.

By Order,

Under Secretary.

Memo

Undersigned begs the favour of an
interview with a view to explain
the Case of Venkatagiri Gosayi's petition
as regards the management of the
Kasi visvanath temple in Seringapatam

23/9/91

T. Ramiaswamy
Advocate

Received letter
by the Paramedical
Who desires the
terms of the Admitted
of the Counsellors

El
28/9/21

We may have
been a learned
~~Doc~~ " My colleague
has no objection
Hemp may be fixed
29th October

El
26/9/21

6-ಅಪ್ರಿ.91

ನೋಟೀಸ.



~~ನೀವು~~ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾ

ಯಿಸಿದ ~~ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ~~ ಮುಂದೆ 9 ನೇ ಮೇಕುಮಿನ ಅಪ್ಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು 29 ನೇ

ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ~~ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ~~ ಪೀಸಾಕ್ಕೆಂನೆಲ್ಲಿಜೆಯರಿಂಗ್ ಬಿ ನುವದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ

11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ~~ನೀವಾದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಯರ್ ಆ ಅಜ್ಯೂಕೇಟಾಗಲಿ~~ ನಮ್ಮ ಆಫೀಸ್ ರೂಬ

ರೂಬ್ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಹುಜೂರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಆಫೀಸ್

ತಾರೀಖು 6 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್

1891 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary.

No. 1639 Regd

26.10.91

One anna stamp affixed.

Received copy free 1-10-92 only
Vakkalamantan, S. Ramaiah
27-10-90

1641 R
27-10-91

27/10/91 Two Rupees stamp affixed.

[illegible]

Appellant

Venkatajoi Sosaye of Kasaba Mysore, by his advocate Mr. T. Paramasiva Iyer

Respondent

& 29th October 91